

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DAVID ALLEN GILLUM,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 OWENS, et al.,

13 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:19-cv-01859-RSM-BAT

**ORDER STRIKING AS MOOT
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
INTRODUCE DOCUMENT**

13 *Pro se* plaintiff moves to introduce his email correspondence with a superior court judge
14 and to request that defendants' motion for summary judgment not be reviewed until after
15 defendants have responded to his interrogatories sent on the discovery deadline date. Dkt. 54.
16 Although plaintiff mailed his current motion on September 23, 2020, it was not received and
17 posted to the docket until September 29, 2020, which was four days after the Report and
18 Recommendation on the summary judgment motion was filed . *See* Dkt. 53.

19 The Court **STRIKES** as moot plaintiff's motion to introduce a document into evidence.
20 Dkt. 54. The Court also notes that the proffered evidence—plaintiff's unanswered 2020 email
21 correspondence sent to King County Superior Court Judge Dean Lum—has no bearing on
22 determining whether his constitutional rights were violated in 2016. Moreover, depending on
23 how the summary judgment motion is resolved, it may be unnecessary to address plaintiff's

1 discovery request mailed on the former cutoff date.

2 DATED this 29th day of September, 2020.

3
4 
5 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
6 Chief United States Magistrate Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23